

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	THE DIG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		C7592(V)	7388
10/025,237	12/19/2001	Andrew Paul Chapple	(1372(1)	
	590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER KUMAR, PREETI	
201	590 04/28/2004			
UNILEVER				
PATENT DEP			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
45 RIVER RO			1751	
EDGEWATER	K, NJ 07020		0.4/00/0000	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/200	1/1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Y	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/025,237	CHAPPLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Preeti Kumar	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the substitution of the subst	cation. A proper repich places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	ppellant's Brief must be filed wi R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (thin the period set for the appeal.	orth in
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	-
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>none</u> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-2 and 4-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appl	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Advisory Action

- 1. The Amendment After Final submitted on April 16, 2004 will not be entered for the reasons given in the summary page of the Advisory Action. The amendment filed April 16, 2004 does not overcome the prior art rejection made over Hauwermeiren et al (WO 98/06811). The Examiner may have suggested to incorporate the limitations of 3 into claim 1 prior to being informed of the IDS filed on 3/15/2004. After considering the IDS filed 3/15/2004, the examiner wrote a final rejection of all the pending claims over one of the references recited on the IDS filed 3/15/2004, namely, Hauwermeiren et al (WO 98/06811).
- 2. It appears Applicants have not read the final rejection of record. As clearly stated in the final rejection dated March 15, 2004, Hauwermeiren et al. teach a granular and powder detergent and cleaning product comprising one or more antibodies granulated with salts e.g. sodium sulfate and calcium sulfate. Please see page 7, 4th paragraph and example 7 formulation IV where Hauwermeiren et al. illustrate a detergent formulation comprising dry additives including antibody, enzymes, bleaching agent and a balance of sodium sulfate which encompasses greater than 80% of the formulation consists of an alkali metal salt. See page 57-58, example 7, formulation IV.

Thus, the after-final amendment filed 4/16/2004 does not overcome the final rejection (3/15/2004) of record. Furthermore, applicants have not provided any additional data or showing of unexpected or unobvious results to overcome the rejection of record as recited in the final rejection, dated 3/15/2004. Also, applicant's arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237

Art Unit: 1751

4

Page 3

fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272 The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PΚ

Margarettensma

MARGARET FOR MANN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100